

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
10/840,032	05/05/2004	William M. Forti	100444.0018US1	7759
34284 7	590 10/19/2004		EXAMINER	
ROBERT D. FISH			MILLER, BENA B	
RUTAN & TU	CKER LLP SLVD 14TH FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	A, CA 92626-1931		3714	
			DATE MAILED: 10/10/2007	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			7W				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/840,032	FORTI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Bena Miller	3714	-·				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address -					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a in. a reply within the statutory minimum of this eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communications BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.						
	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
closed in accordance with the practice und	der Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E). 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	miner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐	accepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	•	•	-				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
2. Certified copies of the priority document		opplication No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		· ·					
application from the International Bu	ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	i list of the certified copies not	received.					
Attachment(s)							
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intention	Summary (PTO-413)					
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/5/04</u>. 	B/08) 5) ☐ Notice of I 6) ☐ Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/840,032

Art Unit: 3714

.

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the a liquid retainer, a sound producer, a portion, an instruction, engaging element must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The content of the abstract is not between 50 to 150 words.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding the claims, it is not clear how the liquid retainer is fixedly coupled to the spiral-shaped tail.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McAllister, Starr or Ming.

Application/Control Number: 10/840,032

Art Unit: 3714

Regarding claim 1, McAllister, Starr or Ming teaches in the figures a flying toy comprising a head portion (D, 26 or 4, respectively) and a spiral-shaped tail (H, 24 or 5, respectively).

Regarding claim 2, McAllister, Starr or Ming further teaches an elastic ball (D, 26 or 4, respectively).

Regarding claim 3, McAllister, Starr or Ming further teaches an engaging element (J, 30 or 4, respectively).

Regarding claim 4, McAllister, Starr or Ming further teaches a coil shape (fig.3, fig.1 or fig.8, respectively).

Regarding claim 5, McAllister, Starr or Ming further teaches a stepped spiral (fig.3, fig.1 or fig. 8, respectively).

Regarding claim 6, McAllister, Starr or Ming further teaches a portion (G, 34 or 41, respectively).

Regarding claim 7 McAllister, Starr or Ming further teaches a metal wire (fig.3, fig.1 or fig.8, respectively).

Regarding claim 8, the examiner takes the position that the weight ratio between the head portion and the spiral-shaped tail of McAllister, Starr or Ming is between 20:1 and 1:1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McAllister, Starr or Ming.

McAllister, Starr and Ming teaches in the figures most of the elements of the claimed invention except for instructions. It is well known in the art to provide instructions with a toy in order to instruct a person how to use the toy. It would have been considered a mere design choice to provide instructions with the toy of McAllister, Starr or Ming for the purpose of instructing a person on how to use the toy.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Muzzi, Ierfino et al, Herber, Lin, Bayles, Sodeshima,

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bena Miller whose telephone number is 703.305.0643. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

bbm October 18, 2004

BENA MILLER
FATENT EXAMINER